G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 5

Serial Nos. 1—6

Papers Filed.

Month July 1905.

SUBJECT.

Permitting the preparation of a Kirita no caste mark on it for use in the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Ajjappanahalli Tumkur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Preparation of a new Kiriṭa & a Nāga —

From

The Dy Commr Tumkur District.

No. 387 Dated 1st December 1904.

The Dy Commissioner reports that there are some old and unserviceable jewels in the Sri Ranganāthaswāmi temple, a muzrai institution, at Ajjappanahalli in the Tumkur Taluk and proposes them to be utilized for preparing a new Silver Kiriṭa and a gold Vaḍiyale Nāga, the cost of preparation being paid by the Shanbhoga and the Patel of the village. He further states that-

Gold weight 1 Varaha and 2 3/4 Huns.

Silver Rs 4 5-

MGCPB 500 21-1-05

that Vadagalai namams have
been in use in this temple.

2 The Govt order issued
in 1894 on the subject of namams
directs that no "ஸ்ரீமந் நாராயண" should 3
be cut on any articles newly
prepared and presented to temples
either by the Mirasi Department
or by devotees.

3 In the Sri Lakshmi nara-
-simhaswami temple at Thiruvaha-
-puram, when the Archakar had
the Thengalai namam removed and
a Vadagalai namam newly put on the idol
in 1900, the Govt directed the
removal of the latter and the
substitution of Tilaka. The
file is put up for reference —

4 Now the question is whether
the preparation of a new Vadagalai
Namam

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

names can be permitted although it is said to be only a renewal of the old ones —

5 As regards the preparation of a kerutta, there seems to be no objection.

Submitted for orders

25
18/4/05

Dep B

The Kanarese Order dated 8th Nov 1894 issued by Govt distinctly prohibits the use of Vadagalai or Tengalai Namas, even in the case of articles made or ordered by the Muzrai Dept. The rule is being strictly observed. It appears therefore proper to direct in the present case that the New Kurgis ~~he may~~ may be made with no caste marks on it. ~~shown be marked with~~ As regards namams it may be ordered that only "Silaka" and no other marks should be newly made —

381/6.7.05.
Submitted to the Hon'ble through the D.P.I.

Secretary
5/7/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

The proposal is strictly in accordance with the standing orders in the subject. Sent to applicability in the case is questionable. The proposal is to renew the existing old "Inam" sent not to grant or issue new ones in places where none existed.

Kindly may be ordered to the proposal

8/7/05

7609
11.7.05

8/7/05.

Please note like adverse work own direction but it is altered after making deliberation I agree with the Secretary & believe the reasons mentioned the same

12.VII.05

Put up a draft to expedite matter at the Conference

14/7/05

14/7/05

Issue

14/7/05

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

7686

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

Fele subd to the 2d Councillor
with a draft prepared in accordance
with the Dewan's order.
LL 16/7/05

Read
17.7.05.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ್ಕಚೇರಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ತೆಂಕಲೆ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಪರರಾದ ತಿರುನಾರಣಯ್ಯಂಗಾರು ದೇವರಾಜಯ್ಯಂಗಾರು ವಗೈರೇರು ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ 1894ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ಮಾಹೆ 19ನೇ ಮಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಗೆ ಜವಾಬು.—

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಸದರಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಜೀಯರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯು ತೆಂಕಲೆ ಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ, ಅಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ನಾಮಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ತೆಂಕಲೆ ನಾಮಗಳಾಗಿಯೂ ಇರುವದರಿಂದ, ಸದರಿ ಜೀಯರ ಉತ್ಸವಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಮೊದಲು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಪೀಠಕ್ಕೆ ಈಚಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಂಕಲೆ ನಾಮವನ್ನು ಇಡಿಸಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ವಡಕಲೆಯವರ ತಕರಾರು ಮೇಲೆ, ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೇಗಾರ್ರು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಮಾಡುವದಾಗಿಯೂ, ಮತ್ತು ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವಡಕಲೆಯವರು ಯಾವ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯು ಮಾಡಲು ನಿಮಿತ್ತವಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದವರು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವಂತೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ, ಬಂದುವೇಳೆ ಪ್ರಕೃತ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಗೆ ತೆಂಕಲೆ ನಾಮವಿರುತ್ತೆಂಬ ಬಂದು ನಿಮಿತ್ತದಿಂದಲೇ ಅದರನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ, ಇದೇ ಮೈಸೂರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀರಮಣ ಮತ್ತು ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಈ ಎರಡು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವಡಕಲೆ ನಾಮ ಹಾಕಿಸಿ, ವಡಕಲೆಯವರಿಂದ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಅದರಂತೆ ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ ಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜೀಯರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯು ಪ್ರಾಧಾನ್ಯೇನ ತೆಂಕಲೆಯವರ ಗೋಷ್ಠಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಂಶವು, ಆ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲಿಯಿರುವ ನಾಮಗಳು ತೆಂಕಲೆ ನಾಮಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಂಬ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಹ ನಿರ್ವಿವಾದವಾದಾಗ್ಯೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಈಗ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುವ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಗೆ ಪುಂಡ್ರಾಂಕನ ವಿರಲೇಬೇಕೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ಆಗಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ವಿಧಿಸಲ್ಪಡಲಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಪುಂಡ್ರಾಂಕನವು ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಹೇತುವಿನಿಂದ ಪ್ರಕೃತ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಿಸಿರುವ ತೆಂಕಲೆನಾಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗಿಸಿ ಬಿಪ್ಪಿಸುವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪವಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀರಮಣ ಮತ್ತು ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವಡಕಲೆ ನಾಮಾಂಕಿತವಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುವ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ, ಈ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂವಿಗೆ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ದೇವಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತಾ ಬರುವಂತೆ ತಿಳಿಯಬರುವ ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ಈಗ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವದು ಉಚಿತವಲ್ಲ.

3. ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇತಃಪರ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಲಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವ ಭಕ್ತಾದಿಗಳಿಂದಲಾಗಲಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲ್ಪಡುವ ದೇವಸೇವೋಪಕರಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ವೇಗವನ್ನು ಹುಟ್ಟಿಸಬಹುದಾದ ಯಾವ ಪುಂಡ್ರಾಂಕನವು ಇಡಿಸಲ್ಪಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಇದರಿಂದ ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

By Order,
A. SREENIVASSACHARLU,
Secretary.

ಹೊಕ್ಕುಂ ಮೈಸೂರು,
6ನೇ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1894.

Government Branch Press, Mysore —17-11-94.—500.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1632

1903-04

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur

To The Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore Mazrai Department

Dated 30th January 1904

Despatched 2 2 04

Received

General No. 363
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Soliciting orders for the preparation of a silver kirita and a gold nama and of the unserviceable articles of the Sri Anjaneyaswami at Hiriyur in Hiriyur Taluk

RM

Read
3/2/04
RS

Submitted

The description of the gold and silver jewels and their probable weight are not known. The preparation of a gold nama is also proposed, but it is not clear whether the D.C. means a Tilaka or a regular nama and if it is the latter case, Urugala or Vadagala - and whether there

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District,
Dated 30th January 1904.

From

M S Narayana Rao Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Government
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that some of the gold and silver jewels of Sri Anjaneya Swami at Ajjappanahalli, Tumkur Taluk, are old and unserviceable. It is proposed that all the old and unserviceable silver ornaments be melted and a "Kirita" be prepared for their weight and likewise a gold nama out of the gold jewels, for the decoration of the idol. The Shanbhog and the Patel of the village have undertaken to get them prepared free of cost. I therefore solicit orders for the preparation of the silver kirita and a gold nama out of the unserviceable articles.

The

The Amildars report No 610 dated 17th October 1903 is herewith submitted for reference

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Trichinopoly District

have any questions hitherto

in use —

DS
10/2/04

Coin "for use on all
these boards" — DS 12/2/04

MUZRAI

1903—1904

From The Dy Secretary, Muzk Deptt

To The Dy Collr, Tanjore Dt.

Dated 20th February 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2504

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure 2.

His letter No 363 dated 30th January 1904 soliciting orders for the preparation of a silver kirita and a gold nama out of the unserviceable ~~jewels~~ old gold ornaments of the Sri Nageswaraswami temple at Kapisthalam, Tanjore Taluk.

Has the honour to ~~state~~ submit that the description of the gold and silver ~~articles which are proposed~~ to be made with their probable weight

proposed to be utilized for the preparation of nama and kirita, and their respective weights are not known.

It is also not clear

a set without stalks

a pair

whether a ~~stalk~~ the

a necklace a ~~regular~~ pair

~~It is therefore requested that the Secretary Local Committee will be good enough to state whether the Names proposed to be Regale or Vis-à-vis Dagale and whether any Names are being in use in the Templeat all.~~

2. The enclosures to the above are hereby returned.

By order

Off.

Dy Secretary
Encl: Depth

whether the Dy Comm^r means the Introduction of a Silaka or regular name and if in the latter case, whether the new names will be of Lingale mark or of Vadagale, and whether such names were hitherto in use in this Temple. The Dy Comm^r is requested to furnish necessary information on all the points noted above —

The enclosures are herewith returned for reference and use

Dy

16/1/04

Dy Secy

Dy

17/2/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3110
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

8/3

1903 04.

From K Doraswami Iyer Esq
Offg Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To M Shama Rao Esq, M.A,
Offg Secretary to the Government of
H.H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated 4th
Despatched } June 1904
Received

General No. 619
No.

Enclosure No. / file

6/6/04

With reference to Deputy Secretary's docket No 2504 dated 20th February 1904, requesting certain information as to the preparation of a gold Prabha and a silver Kiritam etc. of the unservicable jewels belonging to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Agalahanahalli, Tumkur Taluk has the honour to state that (1) the description and weight of the ornaments proposed to be utilized for the above purpose are given

in paper marked 5 of the enclosed vernacular file, (2) the proposed gold nama is not a Tilaka but a regular nama, such as is used by Vadagalai Sri Vaishnava sect and a sketch of it is in the file, and (3) the namams of this description are reported to have been in use in this temple

2 The enclosures received with the docket under reply are returned herewith.

B Bluniadam

for Offg. Deputy Commissioner-

in Trunkan District.

MUZRAI 914

1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The D. Commr.
Tumkur Dt.

Dated July 1904

Despatched 16th

Received

General No. 290
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 17 file V 64

Adverting to his docket no 619 dt 4th June 1904 has the honor to request to be informed whether the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in question is a Muzrai inam endowed with any cash or land inams. The temple's name does not appear in the Ready money Inam Regr.

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

Voin
who
Vide
are
her

maintained in this Office

In the Quit-Rent Regr.

2. of the Tumkur Taluk it is observed that a land at Ajjappanahalli inam is endowed to the "Sri Hanumantha Swamy at Soudanahalli". Whether this ~~office~~ instn is identical with the Anjaneyaswamy temple is not known. This should be reported at an early date.

(vide page 180 of the Q. R. Regr)

2. The enclos are retd for reply & early return

By order

8/7/04

Dy
7/7/04

Dy

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2079
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Hc

Cr
2/12/24

Rupees
Kittdarth Memorandum
W 27-12-24

190.

From

A Tahsildar Gyan in
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To

The Amildarsaru Kannada Bi,
Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 29th November 1924

Despatched 1-12-24

Received

General No. 387
No.

Enclosure No. File

In reply to Muzrai
Secretary's docket C.O. Proceedings
8th July 1924 Engineer to the
the temples of Sri Anjaneya
swamy at Kyatsandra in
the Tumkur Taluk, I am Muzra
Rao instructed by the under
signed has prepared Estimate
that the total temple is
Engineer estimation and
Tenders will be Prepared
finally and I have
to send any remittance for
Cash

I am to inform you that

Village	S. No.	Class	Extent	Assessment	Cash
Kyatsandra	22	Inam	4-4	4-0-0	2-1-0
Sonnalli	19	Do	6-28	5-8-0	2-1-0
Bevinkal	20	Do	1-24	1-4-0	2-1-0
		Katt Bagayat	1-20	6-12-0	
			0-4	0-0-0	
	23	Dry	1-28	1-0-0	0-3-0
		Bagayat	1-9	4-8-0	
		Total	22-18	24-0-0	

to be known by two names, viz, Arjapanahalli
Anjaneya devaru and Sanndenahalli Are
Hanumanta Devaru, more frequently by
the former than by the latter

3. The enclosures received with the docket
under reply are returned herewith.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

Cl. ..

Muzrai 4h.

Read:-

Government Kanarese Circular order of the 8th November 1894, laying down instructions in the matter of using Namams on articles presented to the temples under Government management.

And;

Correspondence ending with docket No. 387 dated 29th November 1904, from the Deputy Commr, Tumkur District, soliciting orders for the preparation of

for a silver Kireeta and
white a gold Vadagale Nama
Vide out of the unserviceable
and (gold & Silver) articles
2 of the Sri Anjaneya
her -swami temple at
Ajjappanahalli
Tumkur Taluk

Order thereon No. No. 411-9
Dt 21-7-05

The Sri Anjaneyaswamy
temple at Ajjappanahalli,
Tumkur Taluk, is a
Muzrai institution
endowed with land
mans. In the
correspondence read
above, the Deputy
Commissioner reports
that there are some
old and unserviceable

articles as noted in the margin, in the temple, and proposes that they may be utilized for preparing a new silver Kireeta and a gold Vadagale Náma, the Shanbhogue and the Patel of the village having under-taken to get them prepared free of cost, and solicits orders for the same. He further states that Vadagale Námams have been in use in this temple.

gold – 1 Varaha & 2 3/4 Hana weight

Silver Rs 45/- weight

2. The Government observe that the Kanarese circular order cited above distinctly prohibits

the use of Vadagale or Tengale Namams on any articles newly prepared for use in temples, and they are of opinion that that order should be strictly adhered to. They are accordingly pleased to direct that a new silver Kireeta may be made with no caste marks on it, and that the Námam proposed to be made should be a "Silaka" and not have a "Vadagale" or "Tengalai" mark.

Issue in MB. Then print

19/7/05
Secretary

19/7

1917

To,
The Deputy Commr
Tumkur Dt rep.
Ver: records.

31-7-05

1905-06

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st July 1905

No. 411-19

Directing that the Circular Order of the 8th November 1894 prohibiting the use of "Tengale" or "Vadagale" Namams on any articles newly prepared for use in temples, should be strictly adhered to; and permitting the preparation of a Kirita with no caste mark on it for use in the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Ajjappanahalli, Tumkur Taluk.

MGCPB—8-8-05—200